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Introduction
GROWTH OF BGP TABLE FROM 1994 TO PRESENT

Source: bgp.potaroo.net
INTRODUCTION

- BGP has evolved from infrastructure to basic connectivity to underlay for advanced service

- Proven protocol since 1989 facilitating Inter domain routing

- Gradually services on the Internet are moving to BGP
  - BGP Multicast VPNs
  - BGP VPLS
  - BGP Flow specifications

- BGP being in Data Center
  - BGP-LU based EPE solution
  - BGP based SPRING solution
  - BGP-LS and BMP for monitoring BGP
FOCUS AREAS

- BGP Protocol blocks
  - Features to keep the protocol evolving to meet market needs

- BGP based Services
  - BMP, BGP Flow Spec, BGP-LS
  - L3VPN services and associated features

- BGP S&P Initiative
  - Target performance at scale for vRR & PE router
  - Optimize BGP performance for express control plane

- Domain Specific BGP
  - BGP in Data center using BGP-LU based EPE solution
  - BGP-LU based solution for SPRING in Data Center

- Programmable BGP (Concept stage)
BGP Protocol Blocks
PROTOCOL BLOCKS

- Support for long lived GR (BGP LLGR)

- Enhanced BMP capabilities

- BGP Precision Timer
  - Support for short hold interval timer in BGP keep alives
  - Benefits minimizing NSR dark window during switch over

- BGP 4 bytes AS support
BGP LL GR

- BGP protocol originally designed with focus on correctness
- Increasing use of BGP as a transport for data less associated with packet forwarding
- MPLS tunnels in forwarding reduce the risk of loops
- Persistence complementary to GRES for longer duration failure
- Capability negotiation to exclude routers without capability
- Static environments using BGP as transport
  - BGP used for auto discovery in case of VPLS
  - Filter programming in case of BGP flow spec
  - Support for RTC
- Retain FIB entries with RIB is gone across reboot
  - AFI/SAFIs that do not depend on exchanging BGP state
  - Introduces 3 communities to determine path persistence
BMP: WHAT IS IT?

- Monitoring station to get a dump of routes received from peers
- Provide views for research purposes
- BGP masks implicitly withdraws advertisements

- Add path in principle can provide information on all paths
  - Cost in memory to retain all the routes to a prefix for monitoring
  - Withdraws routes not providing indication of peer down notification

- Provides BGP update messages wrapped in BMP header
  - Timestamp: when route or route withdrawal was received
  - Peer identity: address BGP identifier, RD

- Provides timestamps and operational data beyond routing
BMP: BENEFITS

- BMP protocol provides:
  - Access to the Adj-RIB-In
  - Dump of statistics that can be used by monitoring station
  - Initiation, Peer Down, Peer Up, Route Monitoring and Stats Reports

- Monitoring session does not send message to monitored router

- Monitoring session is tuned to receive messages

- Following the initial dump RM messages are incremental updates

- BMP messages will converge to the correct set of routes
BGP Precision Timer

- Keep Alives
- Blackout Window 1
- PFE disconnect
- Reduce blackout window 1 across NSR
- Keep Alives
- Blackout Window 2
- Kernel socket merge
- RPD socket merge
- PFE reconnect
- Switchover
- New master
- Old master
- Kernel socket merge complete
WHAT IS ORR?

- ORR stands for Optimal Route Reflection

- A route reflector (RR) might receive the same prefix from many egress PEs

- Currently RR chooses the best path from its own perspective (usually the active route) and advertise it to all clients

- ORR wants RR to choose the best path from its client’s perspective to advertise to its client

WHY DO WE NEED ORR?

- Without ORR, PE1 will use PE3 as egress PE which is more costly than PE2
- With ORR, RR will reflect the path from PE2 to PE1
- There are around 80 RRs, one sitting in each POP
Multiple POPs are grouped into a POP group and share a single RR (now RR might be geographically far away from some of its clients)

Initial consolidation ration is 1:4
BGP-LS
External Applications need to access rpd internal data
  • BGP Internet (SAFI 1) prefixes
  • IGP Topology data
Need to define an API (Session and IPC)
  • PUSH or PULL model?
  • IPC format?
  • Would it make sense to “standardize” this API?
BGP-LS MOTIVATION

- Look across the “fence”
  - “Fence” being IGP area/level or AS boundary

- Gain visibility for application(s) which need *complete* topology data
  - ALTO
  - CDNI
  - Inter-{Area, AS} TE

- Unified API, no IGP stack
Use case - Multi-area IGP topology

- ALTO server needs to know all areas topology
- Manually crafting of “IGP peering” topology is tedious and error prone
USE CASE – INTER-REGION TRAFFIC ENGINEERING

- RSVP Loose hop expansion has practical deployment limits
- Vanilla RSVP has no crank-back in case it “sees” new information that it did not see at previous hops. (and RFC4920 has a lot of caveats)
BGP-Flow Spec
“FLOW”-BASED BGP NLRI

- Specific information about a flow can now be distributed using this BGP NLRI:
  - AFI/SAFI = 1/133: Unicast Traffic Filtering Applications
  - AFI/SAFI = 1/134: VPN Traffic Filtering Applications
- Route “prefix” contains <destination, source, ports>
  - E.g. 10.0.1/24,*,proto=6 (TCP),port=80
- Flow routes are kept in a separate routing table “inetflow.0”
- The contents of this table are applied as a ingress forwarding-table filter on JUNOS routers
- Flow routes are automatically validated against unicast routing information or via routing policy framework.
WHAT IS IN THE BGP FLOW SPEC NLRI?

A Flow Specification NLRI is defined which may include several components in order to identify particular flows

- The NLRI field of the MP_REACH_NLRI and MP_UNREACH_NLRI is encoded as a 1 or 2 octet NLRI length field followed by a variable length NLRI value.
- The NLRI length is expressed in octets

```
+-----------------------------+
| length (0xnn or 0xfnn)     |
+-----------------------------+
| NLRI value (variable)      |
+-----------------------------+
```

Type 1 - Destination Prefix
Type 2 - Source Prefix
Type 3 - IP Protocol
Type 4 – Source or Destination Port
Type 5 – Destination Port
Type 6 - Source Port
Type 7 – ICMP Type
Type 8 – ICMP Code
Type 9 - TCP flags
Type 10 - Packet length
Type 11 – DSCP
Type 12 - Fragment Encoding
FLOW SPEC: JUNOS

- Junos supports flow spec for IPv4 and VPNv4

- Flow route installed in `flow route table Instance-name.inetflow.0`

- Criteria for validating route with unicast routing table

- No-validate to bypass and introduce of operator specific policy

- Once route is added into the inetflow
  - Installed to list of firewall filters in a kernel
  - VPN capability to install flow routes

- Provides framework for
  - match criteria defined on n-tuple match
  - Action criteria defined in RFC 5575 with extensibility built in
HOW DOES BGP FLOW SPEC HELP?

- Flow spec addresses the limitations of existing solutions by allowing the “flow”-based NLRI to convey additional information about traffic filtering rules for traffic that should be discarded.

- Since a new address family is defined, filtering information is now separated from the routing information (and in fact this information is kept in a separate RIB: instance-name.inetflow.0).

- Provides a tool for Network Operators to quickly react to DDOS attacks, saving valuable time between identification of attack and implementation.
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